

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/070,398	03/01/2002	Anders Gidner	5045-03200	6826	
7:	590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER		
Eric B Meyertons			HRUSKOCI, PETER A		
Conley Rose & Taylon P O Box 398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78	8767-0398		1724	1724	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/200	DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	:	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/070,398	GIDNER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter A. Hruskoci	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-1 a	and 8-20-02, and 11-28-03.					
•	•	action is non-final.					
•							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-49</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) 33-49 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ar alastian requirement					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of:		a)-(d) or (f).				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive					
* (application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad				
13) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes: since a specific reference was included in the file of CFR 1.78.	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)				
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
,		· —					

Application/Control Number: 10/070,398

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 33-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 33 is considered incomplete because it is essential to the instant method that the first or second fluid include waste, and the waste is subjected to an oxidative waste treatment. Claim 34 is considered misdescriptive because claim 33 recites that the first temperature is included in the corrosion temperature range. Claims 35-49 depend from the above claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 33-44 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO98/47612

Higo et al. in view of Hong et al. 5,358,645. Higo et al. disclose (see US equivalent 6,495,110, col. 7 line 23 through col. 8 line 64, and first conduit 50, second conduit 48, and tube 42,) a method of oxidative waste treatment substantially as claimed. The claims differ from Higo et al. by reciting that the first and second fluids are mixed in a second conduit comprising a tube or liner having at least an inner surface made of corrosion resistant material extending along a mixing length. Hong et al. disclose (see col. 5 line 4 through col. 8 line 18) that it is known in the art to utilize zirconia based ceramics as a material for construction in supercritical water reactors, piping or tubing, to withstanding harsh conditions in chemical environments including corrosive materials. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the method of

Art Unit: 1724

Higo et al. by including the recited tube or liner in view of the teachings of Hong et al., to aid in withstanding harsh corrosive conditions in a supercritical water reactor. The specific temperatures utilized would have been an obvious matter of process optimization to one skilled in the art, depending on the specific waste treated and results desired, absent a sufficient showing of unexpected results. With regard to claims 37, 38, and 42, it is submitted that Hong et al. as applied above disclose that supercritical water oxidation systems for waste processing comprise the recited corrosive materials.

Claim 33 properly written to overcome the above 35 USC 112 rejection and to include claim 45 would be allowable.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter A. Hruskoci whose telephone number is (571) 272-1160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-0987.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724